UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,220	02/14/2006	Young Jin Doh	9988.300.00	6259
30827 7590 09/15/2008 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3749	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/568,220	DOH, YOUNG JIN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen Gravini	3749					
The MAILING DATE of this commun	-						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMM of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, runication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 will, by statute, cause the application to become	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed by MONTHS from the mailing date of this commone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	nd on 14 February 2006						
· <u> </u>	<i>′</i> —	matters prosecution as to the m	nerits is				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	so amaon Ex parto quayro, 1000	7 0.27 11, 100 0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the a	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 February 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	·	* * * *	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S	5.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	documents have been received	1.					
	<u> </u>						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office actio	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Δ\	view Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20060214</u> . 6)							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deaton (US 3,718,982). The claims are reasonably and broadly construed, in light of the accompanying specification, to be disclosed by Deaton as comprising:

a door **36**;

a lint filter 82 including a filter housing 88 installed at a rear of the door and a filter main body 90 inserted into the filter housing; and

at least one securing member **92** for securing the filter main body to the filter housing. Deaton also discloses the claimed guide rail formed therein for guiding the filter main body therein as shown in figure 2, wherein the securing member is formed at a bottom portion of the guide rail as shown in figure 3, wherein the securing member is formed perpendicularly to the guide rail as shown in figure 1, wherein the securing member includes a convexing portion convexing from one end for contacting a periphery of the filter main body, and a supporting protrusion for supporting the convexing portion and wherein the convexing portion has a predetermined elasticity as shown in figure 3, wherein the filter main body is pressed firmly by the securing member against a rear of the filter housing at column 3 lines 5-41, wherein the convexing portion

convexes towards the supporting protrusion when the filter main body is inserted into the filter housing, and the convexing portion readopts an original shape when the filter main body is pulled out from the filter housing as shown in figure 3, wherein the convexing portion has a deformation degree limited by the supporting protrusion as shown in figure 2.

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fox (US 3,648,381). The claims are reasonably and broadly construed, in light of the accompanying specification, to be disclosed by Fox as comprising:

a door **40**;

a filter main body **54** including a mesh **76** and a frame **56** on an outer periphery of the wire mesh; and

a filter housing **64** disposed at a rear of the door, and having a guide rail for guiding an insertion **70** of the filter main body and a pressing member **66** protruding at a right angle to the guide rail. Fox also discloses the claimed frame pressed firmly against a rear of the filter housing by the pressing member when inserted therein as shown in figure 3, wherein the guide rail guides each side of the frame as shown in figure 2, wherein the filter main body inserts into the filter housing from a top or a side as shown in figure 1, wherein the frame has a handle **58** on an upper portion thereof for a user to grasp, wherein the pressing member protrudes in a direction so that the pressing member presses the filter main body against a rear of the filter housing as shown in figure 3.

Art Unit: 3749

Double Patenting

Page 4

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 11/938,949. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the lint filter to the copending application since both inventions perform the functions as claimed regardless of the lint filter.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272

Application/Control Number: 10/568,220 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven B. McAllister can be reached on 571 272 6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen Gravini/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3749